Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/707,896	GAMBINO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	A. Dexter Tugbang	3729
All Participants:	Status of Application	
(1) A. Dexter Tugbang, Examiner.	(3)	
(2) Steven Fischman, for Applicants.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 20 December 2006	Time:	•
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ A  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative)	
Part I.		•
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a		
Claims discussed: 4		
Prior art documents discussed: n/a		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE C See Continuation Sheet	GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separative directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separative not result in resolution of all issues. A brief su</li> </ul>	on. The examiner will provide a variate record of the substance of	written summary of the substance the interview, since the interview
		•
	•	
A. DEXTER TUGBANG PRIMARY EXAMINER		
Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In the amendment filed on December 1, 2006, the examiner suggested to applicant(s) to change the recitation of "the last metal level" (line 5 of Claim) to --a last metal Cu level--. The change would provide proper antecednt basis for the latter recitations of "last metal Cu level". This change also does not affect the scope of the claimed invention. The applicant(s) have agreed to the above changes, which places the application in condition for allowance..